PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
To: H Wagner & Co AB	PCT				
Norra Vallgatan 72 211 22 MALMÖ	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
	Date of mailing				
	(day/month/year) 0 7 -12- 2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference S 245 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. International filing da					
PCT/SE 2004/001148 26.07.2004	08.08.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classif B65B 67/12	ication and IPC				
Applicant Stern, Leif					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE	Authorized officer				
Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Anna Åhlander/EK					
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88	Telephone No. +46, 8, 782, 25, 00				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

ŀ

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001148

Be	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	which it	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language,
	_	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	claimed	and to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: of material
	a. type (a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	t of material _ in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
	<u></u>	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addition	al comments:
:		
		·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001148

Box No. V Re	easoned statement upplicability; citation	under Rule 4 s and explar	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive nations supporting such statement	e step or industrial
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO
Inventive	step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-10	NO
Industrial	applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The most relevant documents cited in the International Search Report are:

D1: US 4457483 A D2: US 6296212 B1

D1 discloses a screen (22) for holding refuse sacks (42) open. The screen consists of elastic material and is compressible from a rectangular shape to a cylindrical shape. The screen is inserted into the refuse sack. The screen is shorter than the refuse sack such that open parts of the refuse sack can be folded into the screen. Locking device (32, 34) is provided to lock the open parts of the refuse sack and the screen to each other. See figures 6-13.

D2 also discloses a screen (10) for holding refuse sacks (12) open. The screen consists of elastic material and is compressible from a rectangular shape to a cylindrical shape. The screen is formed to a cylindrical shape and inserted into the refuse sack, then the screen is allowed to spring out to a semi cylindrical shape and thereby the sack is stretched. The screen is provided with handles (22, 24, 26). See figures 1-3e.

D1 is considered to be the closest prior art

The invention according to claim 1 differs from D1 in that the screen is designed to stretch the refuse sack. This difference implies that the sack is better attached to the

. . . / . . .

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001148

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: BOX V

screen and is better protected thereby. Consequently, the problem to be solved is how to make the sack better attached to the screen.

A person skilled in the art facing this problem knows from D2 that if the screen is designed to stretch the refuse sack, the sack is better attached to the screen. Therefore, it is considered obvious to the person skilled in the art to modify the screen in D1 so that the sack is stretched by the screen. The invention according to claim 1 is not considered to differ substantially from what is previously known.

Also claims 2, 4, 6-8, 10 are not considered to differ substantially from what is previously known.

In view of what is known from the aforementioned documents, it is not considered to require any inventive work by a person skilled in the art to provide a screen as described in claims 3, 5 and 9. Accordingly, the invention claimed in claims 3, 5 and 9 does not involve an inventive step.